

BrokerCheck Report

DANIEL JOSEPH ARCURI JR

CRD# 2200431

| <u>Section Title</u> | <u>Page(s)</u> |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Report Summary | 1 |
| Broker Qualifications | 2 - 3 |
| Registration and Employment History | 4 |
| Disclosure Events | 5 |

About BrokerCheck®

BrokerCheck offers information on all current, and many former, registered securities brokers, and all current and former registered securities firms. FINRA strongly encourages investors to use BrokerCheck to check the background of securities brokers and brokerage firms before deciding to conduct, or continue to conduct, business with them.

- **What is included in a BrokerCheck report?**

- BrokerCheck reports for individual brokers include information such as employment history, professional qualifications, disciplinary actions, criminal convictions, civil judgments and arbitration awards. BrokerCheck reports for brokerage firms include information on a firm's profile, history, and operations, as well as many of the same disclosure events mentioned above.

- Please note that the information contained in a BrokerCheck report may include pending actions or allegations that may be contested, unresolved or unproven. In the end, these actions or allegations may be resolved in favor of the broker or brokerage firm, or concluded through a negotiated settlement with no admission or finding of wrongdoing.

- **Where did this information come from?**

- The information contained in BrokerCheck comes from FINRA's Central Registration Depository, or CRD® and is a combination of:

- o information FINRA and/or the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) require brokers and brokerage firms to submit as part of the registration and licensing process, and
- o information that regulators report regarding disciplinary actions or allegations against firms or brokers.

- **How current is this information?**

- Generally, active brokerage firms and brokers are required to update their professional and disciplinary information in CRD within 30 days. Under most circumstances, information reported by brokerage firms, brokers and regulators is available in BrokerCheck the next business day.

- **What if I want to check the background of an investment adviser firm or investment adviser representative?**

- To check the background of an investment adviser firm or representative, you can search for the firm or individual in BrokerCheck. If your search is successful, click on the link provided to view the available licensing and registration information in the SEC's Investment Adviser Public Disclosure (IAPD) website at <https://www.adviserinfo.sec.gov>. In the alternative, you may search the IAPD website directly or contact your state securities regulator at <http://www.finra.org/Investors/ToolsCalculators/BrokerCheck/P455414>.

- **Are there other resources I can use to check the background of investment professionals?**

- FINRA recommends that you learn as much as possible about an investment professional before deciding to work with them. Your state securities regulator can help you research brokers and investment adviser representatives doing business in your state.

Thank you for using FINRA BrokerCheck.



Using this site/information means that you accept the FINRA BrokerCheck Terms and Conditions. A complete list of Terms and Conditions can be found at

brokercheck.finra.org



For additional information about the contents of this report, please refer to the User Guidance or www.finra.org/brokercheck. It provides a glossary of terms and a list of frequently asked questions, as well as additional resources. [For more information about FINRA, visit www.finra.org.](http://www.finra.org)

DANIEL J. ARCURI JR

CRD# 2200431

This broker is not currently registered.

Report Summary for this Broker



This report summary provides an overview of the broker's professional background and conduct. Additional information can be found in the detailed report.

Broker Qualifications

This broker is not currently registered.

This broker has passed:

- 0 Principal/Supervisory Exams
- 2 General Industry/Product Exams
- 1 State Securities Law Exam

Registration History

This broker was previously registered with the following securities firm(s):

THRIVENT INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT INC.
 CRD# 18387
 GREENSBURG, PA
 09/1992 - 12/2017

Disclosure Events

All individuals registered to sell securities or provide investment advice are required to disclose customer complaints and arbitrations, regulatory actions, employment terminations, bankruptcy filings, and criminal or civil judicial proceedings.

Are there events disclosed about this broker? **Yes**

The following types of disclosures have been reported:

| Type | Count |
|------------------|-------|
| Regulatory Event | 1 |
| Termination | 1 |
| Financial | 4 |

Broker Qualifications



Registrations

This section provides the self-regulatory organizations (SROs) and U.S. states/territories the broker is currently registered and licensed with, the category of each license, and the date on which it became effective. This section also provides, for every brokerage firm with which the broker is currently employed, the address of each branch where the broker works.

This broker is not currently registered.



Broker Qualifications

Industry Exams this Broker has Passed

This section includes all securities industry exams that the broker has passed. Under limited circumstances, a broker may attain a registration after receiving an exam waiver based on exams the broker has passed and/or qualifying work experience. Any exam waivers that the broker has received are not included below.

This individual has passed 0 principal/supervisory exams, 2 general industry/product exams, and 1 state securities law exam.

Principal/Supervisory Exams

| Exam | Category | Date |
|--------------------------|----------|------|
| No information reported. | | |

General Industry/Product Exams

| Exam | Category | Date |
|---|----------|------------|
| Securities Industry Essentials Examination | SIE | 12/08/2017 |
| Investment Company Products/Variable Contracts Representative Examination | Series 6 | 08/31/1992 |

State Securities Law Exams

| Exam | Category | Date |
|--|-----------|------------|
| Uniform Securities Agent State Law Examination | Series 63 | 01/24/1992 |

Additional information about the above exams or other exams FINRA administers to brokers and other securities professionals can be found at www.finra.org/brokerqualifications/registeredrep/.



Registration and Employment History

Registration History

The broker previously was registered with the following firms:

| Registration Dates | Firm Name | CRD# | Branch Location |
|--------------------|-------------------------------------|-------|-----------------|
| 09/1992 - 12/2017 | THRIVENT INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT INC. | 18387 | GREENSBURG, PA |

Employment History

This section provides up to 10 years of an individual broker's employment history as reported by the individual broker on the most recently filed Form U4.

Please note that the broker is required to provide this information only while registered with FINRA or a national securities exchange and the information is not updated via Form U4 after the broker ceases to be registered. Therefore, an employment end date of "Present" may not reflect the broker's current employment status.

| Employment Dates | Employer Name | Employer Location |
|-------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|
| 07/2002 - Present | THRIVENT FINANCIAL FOR LUTHERANS | APPLETON, WI |
| 07/2002 - Present | THRIVENT INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT INC. | GREENSBURG, PA |
| 07/2002 - 12/2012 | THRIVENT FINANCIAL BANK | GREENSBURG, PA |

Other Business Activities

This section includes information, if any, as provided by the broker regarding other business activities the broker is currently engaged in either as a proprietor, partner, officer, director, employee, trustee, agent or otherwise. This section does not include non-investment related activity that is exclusively charitable, civic, religious or fraternal and is recognized as tax exempt.

No information reported.



Disclosure Events

What you should know about reported disclosure events:

1. All individuals registered to sell securities or provide investment advice are required to disclose customer complaints and arbitrations, regulatory actions, employment terminations, bankruptcy filings, and criminal or civil judicial proceedings.
2. **Certain thresholds must be met before an event is reported to CRD, for example:**
 - o A law enforcement agency must file formal charges before a broker is required to disclose a particular criminal event.
 - o A customer dispute must involve allegations that a broker engaged in activity that violates certain rules or conduct governing the industry and that the activity resulted in damages of at least \$5,000.
 - o
3. **Disclosure events in BrokerCheck reports come from different sources:**
 - o As mentioned at the beginning of this report, information contained in BrokerCheck comes from brokers, brokerage firms and regulators. When more than one of these sources reports information for the same disclosure event, all versions of the event will appear in the BrokerCheck report. The different versions will be separated by a solid line with the reporting source labeled.
 - o
4. **There are different statuses and dispositions for disclosure events:**
 - o A disclosure event may have a status of *pending*, *on appeal*, or *final*.
 - § A "pending" event involves allegations that have not been proven or formally adjudicated.
 - § An event that is "on appeal" involves allegations that have been adjudicated but are currently being appealed.
 - § A "final" event has been concluded and its resolution is not subject to change.
 - o A final event generally has a disposition of *adjudicated*, *settled* or *otherwise resolved*.
 - § An "adjudicated" matter includes a disposition by (1) a court of law in a criminal or civil matter, or (2) an administrative panel in an action brought by a regulator that is contested by the party charged with some alleged wrongdoing.
 - § A "settled" matter generally involves an agreement by the parties to resolve the matter. Please note that brokers and brokerage firms may choose to settle customer disputes or regulatory matters for business or other reasons.
 - § A "resolved" matter usually involves no payment to the customer and no finding of wrongdoing on the part of the individual broker. Such matters generally involve customer disputes.

For your convenience, below is a matrix of the number and status of disclosure events involving this broker. Further information regarding these events can be found in the subsequent pages of this report. You also may wish to contact the broker to obtain further information regarding these events.

| | Pending | Final | On Appeal |
|------------------|---------|-------|-----------|
| Regulatory Event | 1 | 0 | 0 |



| | | | |
|-------------|-----|---|-----|
| Termination | N/A | 1 | N/A |
| Financial | 0 | 4 | N/A |



Disclosure Event Details

When evaluating this information, please keep in mind that a disclosure event may be pending or involve allegations that are contested and have not been resolved or proven. The matter may, in the end, be withdrawn, dismissed, resolved in favor of the broker, or concluded through a negotiated settlement for certain business reasons (e.g., to maintain customer relationships or to limit the litigation costs associated with disputing the allegations) with no admission or finding of wrongdoing.

This report provides the information exactly as it was reported to CRD and therefore some of the specific data fields contained in the report may be blank if the information was not provided to CRD.

Regulatory - Pending

This type of disclosure event involves a pending formal proceeding initiated by a regulatory authority (e.g., a state securities agency, self-regulatory organization, federal regulatory agency such as the Securities and Exchange Commission, foreign financial regulatory body) for alleged violations of investment-related rules or regulations.

Disclosure 1 of 1

| | |
|--|---|
| Reporting Source: | Regulator |
| Regulatory Action Initiated By: | FINRA |
| Sanction(s) Sought: | Other: N/A |
| Date Initiated: | 10/22/2019 |
| Docket/Case Number: | 2017056688202 |
| Employing firm when activity occurred which led to the regulatory action: | Thrivent Investment Management, Inc. |
| Product Type: | No Product |
| Allegations: | Arcuri was named a respondent in a FINRA complaint alleging he failed to appear and testify as requested by FINRA in connection with an investigation into his potential misuse of customer funds and undisclosed outside business activities related to a client's estate. The complaint alleges that Arcuri's member firm filed a Form U5 stating that his employment had been terminated for failing to seek and obtain firm approval to engage in an outside business activity as the representative of the estate of a deceased client. The Form U5 further noted that the firm was investigating whether Arcuri had properly distributed funds from the estate. |
| Current Status: | Pending |





Employment Separation After Allegations

This type of disclosure event involves a situation where the broker voluntarily resigned, was discharged, or was permitted to resign after being accused of (1) violating investment-related statutes, regulations, rules or industry standards of conduct; (2) fraud or the wrongful taking of property; or (3) failure to supervise in connection with investment-related statutes, regulations, rules, or industry standards of conduct.

Disclosure 1 of 1

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Reporting Source: | Firm |
| Employer Name: | Thrivent Investment Management, Inc. |
| Termination Type: | Voluntary Resignation |
| Termination Date: | 12/05/2017 |
| Allegations: | RR failed to seek and obtain Firm approval to engage in an outside business activity as the representative of the estate of a deceased client. |
| Product Type: | No Product |



Financial - Final

This type of disclosure event involves a bankruptcy, compromise with one or more creditors, or Securities Investor Protection Corporation liquidation involving the broker or an organization/brokerage firm the broker controlled that occurred within the last 10 years.

Disclosure 1 of 4

Reporting Source: Broker

Action Type: Compromise

Action Date: 12/01/2009

Organization Investment-Related?

Action Pending? No

Disposition: Discharged

Disposition Date: 12/22/2009

If a compromise with creditor, provide:

Name of Creditor: Capital One

Original Amount Owed: \$2,937.00

Terms Reached with Creditor: As stated above I continued to make payments after they turned over to debt service in Ohio. After getting class action suit against debt collector I was told to stop. Interest and penalties were building at a high rate. Called Capital One and they said they would dismiss the amount.

Amount Paid:

SIPA (Securities Investor Protection Act)Trustee:

Currently Open? No

Date Direct Payment Initiated/Filed or Trustee Appointed:

Broker Statement

I did not realize this debt would be classified as a charge off. I was told because of the debt collection firm that represented themselves as a business within Capital One that my payments were going to Capital One for the debt. After the Ohio company was closed down because of the complaints I called Capital One who said the debt would be dismissed.



Disclosure 2 of 4

Reporting Source: Broker
Action Type: Compromise
Action Date: 03/01/2010

Organization Investment-Related?

Action Pending? No

Disposition: Discharged

Disposition Date: 03/01/2010

If a compromise with creditor, provide:

Name of Creditor: DFS

Original Amount Owed: \$3,160.00

Terms Reached with Creditor: Unable to reach agreement on defective computer. They refused repair cost. Payments were stopped. Told to take DFS to court to resolve disagreement but didn't have resources.

Broker Statement

DFS was a dispute over a computer purchased that had severe hardware failure. The warranty was disputed by DFS and they didn't want to fix the computer. I was told the warranty no longer covered the hard drive issue and that the failure was not their fault. They sent a service tech to diagnose the issue. I then had the diagnosis looked at by local tech company. They disagreed with DFS tech and said issue should be covered. DFS would not agree. Stopped payment. Told to take DFS to court but didn't have resources to do so.

Disclosure 3 of 4

Reporting Source: Broker
Action Type: Compromise
Action Date: 06/01/2010

Organization Investment-Related?

Action Pending? No



Disposition: Satisfied/Released

Disposition Date: 06/01/2010

If a compromise with creditor, provide:

Name of Creditor: American Suzuki

Original Amount Owed: \$12,745.00

Terms Reached with Creditor: This was a lease. Turned in one day late at dealership because of error on salesman at Shorkey Suzuki. American Suzuki said I breached agreement and owed the buyout for vehicle. Vehicle was turned in. Salesman and general manager said they would resolve issue with American Suzuki. Got amount owed six months later. Tried to resolve issue with them but they kept insisting the one day late turn of of vehicle was a breach of lease agreement. No agreement reached. Consulted with attorney Paul Toohey.

Broker Statement Consulted with Attorney Paul Toohey in Mount Pleasant, Pa. Free consultation. He sent a letter to American Suzuki explaining the issues and that the vehicle was turned in one day late but the mistake was by the salesman that gave the wrong turn in date on lease. We never heard anything back. Attorney said that they probably took debt off. Never heard back from them.

Disclosure 4 of 4

Reporting Source: Broker

Action Type: Compromise

Action Date: 02/01/2010

Organization Investment-Related?

Action Pending? No

Disposition: Dismissed

Disposition Date: 02/01/2010

If a compromise with creditor, provide:

Name of Creditor: Levin

Original Amount Owed: \$3,000.00



Terms Reached with Creditor: Creditor settled for payments received to date. Interest penalties were stopped.

Broker Statement Levin was a credit purchase that was being paid on. Furniture bought was defective and sent back twice for repair. Actually they sent technician out to home. Furniture sold was actually low quality and misrepresented by company. After numerous calls to Levins I was told payments received to date were sufficient and that the penalties would be taken off.

End of Report



This page is intentionally left blank.