

BrokerCheck Report

DAIN FARRELL STOKES

CRD# 2960801

<u>Section Title</u>	<u>Page(s)</u>
Report Summary	1
Broker Qualifications	2 - 3
Registration and Employment History	4
Disclosure Events	5

About BrokerCheck®

BrokerCheck offers information on all current, and many former, registered securities brokers, and all current and former registered securities firms. FINRA strongly encourages investors to use BrokerCheck to check the background of securities brokers and brokerage firms before deciding to conduct, or continue to conduct, business with them.

- **What is included in a BrokerCheck report?**

- BrokerCheck reports for individual brokers include information such as employment history, professional qualifications, disciplinary actions, criminal convictions, civil judgments and arbitration awards. BrokerCheck reports for brokerage firms include information on a firm's profile, history, and operations, as well as many of the same disclosure events mentioned above.

- Please note that the information contained in a BrokerCheck report may include pending actions or allegations that may be contested, unresolved or unproven. In the end, these actions or allegations may be resolved in favor of the broker or brokerage firm, or concluded through a negotiated settlement with no admission or finding of wrongdoing.

- **Where did this information come from?**

- The information contained in BrokerCheck comes from FINRA's Central Registration Depository, or CRD® and is a combination of:

- o information FINRA and/or the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) require brokers and brokerage firms to submit as part of the registration and licensing process, and
- o information that regulators report regarding disciplinary actions or allegations against firms or brokers.

- **How current is this information?**

- Generally, active brokerage firms and brokers are required to update their professional and disciplinary information in CRD within 30 days. Under most circumstances, information reported by brokerage firms, brokers and regulators is available in BrokerCheck the next business day.

- **What if I want to check the background of an investment adviser firm or investment adviser representative?**

- To check the background of an investment adviser firm or representative, you can search for the firm or individual in BrokerCheck. If your search is successful, click on the link provided to view the available licensing and registration information in the SEC's Investment Adviser Public Disclosure (IAPD) website at <https://www.adviserinfo.sec.gov>. In the alternative, you may search the IAPD website directly or contact your state securities regulator at <http://www.finra.org/Investors/ToolsCalculators/BrokerCheck/P455414>.

- **Are there other resources I can use to check the background of investment professionals?**

- FINRA recommends that you learn as much as possible about an investment professional before deciding to work with them. Your state securities regulator can help you research brokers and investment adviser representatives doing business in your state.

Thank you for using FINRA BrokerCheck.



Using this site/information means that you accept the FINRA BrokerCheck Terms and Conditions. A complete list of Terms and Conditions can be found at

brokercheck.finra.org



For additional information about the contents of this report, please refer to the User Guidance or www.finra.org/brokercheck. It provides a glossary of terms and a list of frequently asked questions, as well as additional resources. For more information about FINRA, visit www.finra.org.

DAIN F. STOKES

CRD# 2960801

This broker is not currently registered.

Report Summary for this Broker

This report summary provides an overview of the broker's professional background and conduct. Additional information can be found in the detailed report.

Broker Qualifications

This broker is not currently registered.

This broker has passed:

- 1 Principal/Supervisory Exam
- 2 General Industry/Product Exams
- 2 State Securities Law Exams

Registration History

This broker was previously registered with the following securities firm(s):

LPL FINANCIAL LLC

CRD# 6413
BEDFORD, NH
06/2009 - 09/2019

EDWARD JONES

CRD# 250
MANCHESTER, NH
05/2000 - 06/2009

AMERICAN EXPRESS FINANCIAL ADVISORS INC.

CRD# 6363
MINNEAPOLIS, MN
03/1998 - 05/2000

Disclosure Events

All individuals registered to sell securities or provide investment advice are required to disclose customer complaints and arbitrations, regulatory actions, employment terminations, bankruptcy filings, and criminal or civil judicial proceedings.

Are there events disclosed about this broker? **Yes**

The following types of disclosures have been reported:

Type	Count
Regulatory Event	1
Customer Dispute	3
Termination	1

Investment Adviser Representative Information

The information below represents the individual's record as a broker. For details on this individual's record as an investment adviser representative, visit the SEC's Investment Adviser Public Disclosure website at

<https://www.adviserinfo.sec.gov>

Broker Qualifications



Registrations

This section provides the self-regulatory organizations (SROs) and U.S. states/territories the broker is currently registered and licensed with, the category of each license, and the date on which it became effective. This section also provides, for every brokerage firm with which the broker is currently employed, the address of each branch where the broker works.

This broker is not currently registered.



Broker Qualifications

Industry Exams this Broker has Passed

This section includes all securities industry exams that the broker has passed. Under limited circumstances, a broker may attain a registration after receiving an exam waiver based on exams the broker has passed and/or qualifying work experience. Any exam waivers that the broker has received are not included below.

This individual has passed 1 principal/supervisory exam, 2 general industry/product exams, and 2 state securities law exams.

Principal/Supervisory Exams

Exam	Category	Date
General Securities Principal Examination	Series 24	08/11/2000

General Industry/Product Exams

Exam	Category	Date
Securities Industry Essentials Examination	SIE	10/01/2018
General Securities Representative Examination	Series 7	02/16/1998

State Securities Law Exams

Exam	Category	Date
Uniform Combined State Law Examination	Series 66	12/03/2008
Uniform Securities Agent State Law Examination	Series 63	10/29/1997

Additional information about the above exams or other exams FINRA administers to brokers and other securities professionals can be found at www.finra.org/brokerqualifications/registeredrep/.



Registration and Employment History

Registration History

The broker previously was registered with the following firms:

Registration Dates	Firm Name	CRD#	Branch Location
06/2009 - 09/2019	LPL FINANCIAL LLC	6413	BEDFORD, NH
05/2000 - 06/2009	EDWARD JONES	250	MANCHESTER, NH
03/1998 - 05/2000	AMERICAN EXPRESS FINANCIAL ADVISORS INC.	6363	MINNEAPOLIS, MN
03/1998 - 05/2000	IDS LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY	6321	MINNEAPOLIS, MN

Employment History

This section provides up to 10 years of an individual broker's employment history as reported by the individual broker on the most recently filed Form U4.

Please note that the broker is required to provide this information only while registered with FINRA or a national securities exchange and the information is not updated via Form U4 after the broker ceases to be registered. Therefore, an employment end date of "Present" may not reflect the broker's current employment status.

Employment Dates	Employer Name	Employer Location
06/2009 - Present	LPL FINANCIAL	BEDFORD, NH

Other Business Activities

This section includes information, if any, as provided by the broker regarding other business activities the broker is currently engaged in either as a proprietor, partner, officer, director, employee, trustee, agent or otherwise. This section does not include non-investment related activity that is exclusively charitable, civic, religious or fraternal and is recognized as tax exempt.

- 06/08/09 - OTHER, AUTHOR, I WRITE AND PUBLISH CHILDREN'S BOOKS. 5% OF TIME.
- 06/05/09 - OTHER, AUTHOR, I WRITE AND PUBLISH FICTIONAL NOVELS. 5% OF TIME.
- 06/08/09 - OTHER - PUBLISHING HOUSE, ZU ZU'S PETALS PUBLISHING HOUSE, SELF-PUBLISH MY OWN CHILDREN'S BOOKS, 5% OF TIME.
- 06/08/09 - OTHER - PUBLISHING HOUSE, THREE BUZZARDS PRESS, SELF-PUBLISH MY FICTIONAL NOVELS, 5% OF TIME.



Disclosure Events

What you should know about reported disclosure events:

1. All individuals registered to sell securities or provide investment advice are required to disclose customer complaints and arbitrations, regulatory actions, employment terminations, bankruptcy filings, and criminal or civil judicial proceedings.
2. **Certain thresholds must be met before an event is reported to CRD, for example:**
 - o A law enforcement agency must file formal charges before a broker is required to disclose a particular criminal event.
 - o A customer dispute must involve allegations that a broker engaged in activity that violates certain rules or conduct governing the industry and that the activity resulted in damages of at least \$5,000.
 - o
3. **Disclosure events in BrokerCheck reports come from different sources:**
 - o As mentioned at the beginning of this report, information contained in BrokerCheck comes from brokers, brokerage firms and regulators. When more than one of these sources reports information for the same disclosure event, all versions of the event will appear in the BrokerCheck report. The different versions will be separated by a solid line with the reporting source labeled.
 - o
4. **There are different statuses and dispositions for disclosure events:**
 - o A disclosure event may have a status of *pending*, *on appeal*, or *final*.
 - § A "pending" event involves allegations that have not been proven or formally adjudicated.
 - § An event that is "on appeal" involves allegations that have been adjudicated but are currently being appealed.
 - § A "final" event has been concluded and its resolution is not subject to change.
 - o A final event generally has a disposition of *adjudicated*, *settled* or *otherwise resolved*.
 - § An "adjudicated" matter includes a disposition by (1) a court of law in a criminal or civil matter, or (2) an administrative panel in an action brought by a regulator that is contested by the party charged with some alleged wrongdoing.
 - § A "settled" matter generally involves an agreement by the parties to resolve the matter. Please note that brokers and brokerage firms may choose to settle customer disputes or regulatory matters for business or other reasons.
 - § A "resolved" matter usually involves no payment to the customer and no finding of wrongdoing on the part of the individual broker. Such matters generally involve customer disputes.

For your convenience, below is a matrix of the number and status of disclosure events involving this broker. Further information regarding these events can be found in the subsequent pages of this report. You also may wish to contact the broker to obtain further information regarding these events.

	Pending	Final	On Appeal
Regulatory Event	0	1	0



Customer Dispute	0	3	N/A
Termination	N/A	1	N/A



Disclosure Event Details

When evaluating this information, please keep in mind that a disclosure event may be pending or involve allegations that are contested and have not been resolved or proven. The matter may, in the end, be withdrawn, dismissed, resolved in favor of the broker, or concluded through a negotiated settlement for certain business reasons (e.g., to maintain customer relationships or to limit the litigation costs associated with disputing the allegations) with no admission or finding of wrongdoing.

This report provides the information exactly as it was reported to CRD and therefore some of the specific data fields contained in the report may be blank if the information was not provided to CRD.

Regulatory - Final

This type of disclosure event may involve (1) a final, formal proceeding initiated by a regulatory authority (e.g., a state securities agency, self-regulatory organization, federal regulatory such as the Securities and Exchange Commission, foreign financial regulatory body) for a violation of investment-related rules or regulations; or (2) a revocation or suspension of a broker's authority to act as an attorney, accountant, or federal contractor.

Disclosure 1 of 1

Reporting Source:	Firm
Regulatory Action Initiated By:	New Hampshire Department of State Bureau of Securities Regulation
Sanction(s) Sought:	Cease and Desist Monetary Penalty other than Fines Restitution Suspension
Date Initiated:	08/26/2019
Docket/Case Number:	1-2019000029
Employing firm when activity occurred which led to the regulatory action:	LPL Financial LLC
Product Type:	Promissory Note
Allegations:	On August 26, 2019, the State of New Hampshire, Department of State, Bureau of Securities Regulation ("Bureau") petitioned the Director stating the following facts: On August 1, 2019, a client of the Representative filed a police report alleging fraud related to a \$201,000.00 investment project that Representative classified as confidential. Client provided copies of cancelled checks, unsecured promissory notes, and text messages to the Policy Department that corroborated the complaint. According to the police report, Representative approached client for an



investment in an "outside project" where the Representative was in charge of the "financial end of the project". On three occasions, client wrote checks payable to Representative who in return executed unsecured promissory notes promising returns. Upon information and belief, Representative did not utilize the monies invested by client as intended. The Bureau made the following statements of law under the New Hampshire Statutes. 1) Representative violated FINRA Rule 3240 by borrowing at least \$201,000 from client; 2) Securities licenses in New Hampshire should be summarily suspended due to violations of N.H. RSA 421-B:5-501, in which Representative violated for defrauding said client of \$201,000 by falsely claiming the alleged investment was being used for a project; 3) Representative was ordered to cease and desist from further violations of N.H. RSA 421-B due to the violations described herein; 4) Representative was fined twenty thousand dollars (\$20,000.00) for the four violations of N.H. RSA 421-B:4-406(k), and four violations of N.H. RSA 421-B:5-501; 5) Representative pay restitution of two hundred one thousand dollars (\$201,000.00) plus interest to client; and 6) to pay costs, as determined by the Hearing Officer. On August 26, 2019, a Notice of Order was executed ordering the summary suspension and cease and desist, as finding it necessary and appropriate and in the public interest, and for the protection of investors and consistent with the intent and purposes of the New Hampshire laws.

Current Status:	Final
Resolution:	Order
Resolution Date:	08/26/2019
Sanctions Ordered:	Cease and Desist Civil and Administrative Penalty(ies)/Fine(s) Restitution Suspension Other: On August 26, 2019, the Order was executed that Representative immediately cease and desist from further violations of N.H. RSA 421-B, his investment advisor agent and broker-dealer representative licenses was summarily suspended, pay restitution to client in the amount of \$201,000.00, plus interest at the legal rate, pay a fine totaling \$20,000.00, and pay the Bureau's cost of investigation and enforcement the amount of which to be determined by the Hearing Officer.
Sanction 1 of 1	
Sanction Type:	Suspension
Capacities Affected:	Investment Advisor Agent and Broker Dealer Representative
Duration:	Not available
Start Date:	08/26/2019

**End Date:****Monetary Sanction 1 of 3**

Monetary Related Sanction: Restitution
Total Amount: \$201,000.00
Portion Levied against individual: \$201,000.00

Payment Plan:**Is Payment Plan Current:**

Date Paid by individual: 08/26/2019

Was any portion of penalty waived? No

Amount Waived:**Monetary Sanction 2 of 3**

Monetary Related Sanction: Monetary Penalty other than Fines
Total Amount: \$0.00
Portion Levied against individual: \$0.00

Payment Plan:**Is Payment Plan Current:**

Date Paid by individual: 08/26/2019

Was any portion of penalty waived? No

Amount Waived:**Monetary Sanction 3 of 3**

Monetary Related Sanction: Civil and Administrative Penalty(ies)/Fine(s)
Total Amount: \$20,000.00
Portion Levied against individual: \$20,000.00

Payment Plan:**Is Payment Plan Current:**



Date Paid by individual: 08/26/2019

Was any portion of penalty waived? No

Amount Waived:



Customer Dispute - Closed-No Action / Withdrawn / Dismissed / Denied

This type of disclosure event involves (1) a consumer-initiated, investment-related arbitration or civil suit containing allegations of sales practice violations against the individual broker that was dismissed, withdrawn, or denied; or (2) a consumer-initiated, investment-related written complaint containing allegations that the broker engaged in sales practice violations resulting in compensatory damages of at least \$5,000, forgery, theft, or misappropriation, or conversion of funds or securities, which was closed without action, withdrawn, or denied.

Disclosure 1 of 3

Reporting Source: Broker

Employing firm when activities occurred which led to the complaint: LPL FINANCIAL, LLC

Allegations: FAILURE TO ADVISE OF TAX CONSEQUENCE OF TRANSACTION.

Product Type: Mutual Fund

Alleged Damages: \$18,909.00

Is this an oral complaint? No

Is this a written complaint? Yes

Is this an arbitration/CFTC reparation or civil litigation? No

Customer Complaint Information

Date Complaint Received: 06/10/2015

Complaint Pending? No

Status: Denied

Status Date: 07/06/2015

Settlement Amount:

Individual Contribution Amount:

Disclosure 2 of 3

Reporting Source: Firm

Employing firm when activities occurred which led to the complaint: EDWARD JONES

Allegations: CLIENT CLAIMS THE PREVIOUS FA ADVISED HER TO LIQUIDATE HER



ALLIANZ ANNUITY CONTRACT WHICH WAS NOT HELD AT EDWARD JONES. CLIENT CLAIMS THE FA TOLD HER HE COULD PUT THE FUNDS TO BETTER USE. CLIENT CLAIMS THE FA ADVISED HER SHE WOULD BE BETTER OFF PAYING THE SURRENDER CHARGE AND REINVESTING THE FUNDS AT EDWARD JONES. CLIENT STATED SHE FOLLOWED THE FA'S ADVICE AND AS A RESULT LOST \$5,744.46 IN SURRENDER CHARGES AND \$1,688.98 IN FEDERAL TAXES WITHHELD. CLIENT FEELS SHE DESERVES TO BE REIMBURSED \$7,433.44 TO RESOLVE THIS ISSUE.

Product Type: Annuity-Variable
Alleged Damages: \$7,433.44
Is this an oral complaint? No
Is this a written complaint? Yes
**Is this an arbitration/CFTC
 reparation or civil litigation?** No

Customer Complaint Information

Date Complaint Received: 08/16/2011
Complaint Pending? No
Status: Denied
Status Date: 08/19/2011
Settlement Amount:

**Individual Contribution
 Amount:**

Firm Statement

AFTER REVIEWING THE ACCOUNT, SPEAKING WITH THE CLIENT, AND CONTACTING THE PREVIOUS FA, OUR INVESTIGATION REVEALED THE FOLLOWING. IN 2008, THE CLIENT REQUESTED THE FA REVIEW HER ANNUITY WITH ALLIANZ. SHE ADVISED THE FA HER HUSBAND HAD RECENTLY PASSED AWAY AND SHE NEEDED TO INCREASE HER INCOME. OUR RESEARCH INDICATES THE FA CONTACTED ALLIANZ WITH THE CLIENT IN HIS OFFICE TO DISCOVER THE FINANCIAL FACTS RELATED TO THE CONTRACT SINCE THE FA DID NOT SELL IT TO THE CLIENT. ALLIANZ ADVISED THE CLIENT AND THE FA OF THE SURRENDER CHARGES, TAXABLE AMOUNT, AND THE FACT INCOME WITHDRAWALS COULD NOT BE TAKEN FROM THE CONTRACT FOR QUITE SOME TIME. OUR REVIEW INDICATES THE CLIENT AND FA REVIEWED THE FINANCIAL FACTS AND WEIGHED THEM AGAINST HER NEED FOR MORE INCOME, THEN THE CLIENT DECIDED TO CONSIDER IT FOR A FEW DAYS AND RUN IT BY HER SON. AT THE NEXT MEETING THE CLIENT REQUESTED THE FA LIQUIDATE



THE ANNUITY. THE FA PROCEEDED BY CONTACTING ALLIANZ FOR THE PROPER PAPERWORK SO HE COULD PROCEED. AT THIS TIME ALLIANZ SENT TWO LETTERS TO THE CLIENT CONFIRMING THE SURRENDER PENALTY AND TAXABLE AMOUNT IF THE ANNUITY WAS SURRENDERED. THE CLIENT ATTACHED THE TWO LETTERS FOR MY REFERENCE. IN REVIEW OF THE CLIENT'S CONCERNS, WE BELIEVE THE FA MADE HIS RECOMMENDATION BASED ON THE MATERIAL FACTS OF THE CONTRACT AND THE CLIENTS NEED FOR INCOME, WHICH THE ANNUITY COULD NOT PROVIDE. BASED ON THE DOCUMENTS PROVIDED BY THE CLIENT, WE ALSO BELIEVE SHE RECEIVED FULL DISCLOSURE IN 2008 AS TO THE FEES AND COSTS RELATED TO THE LIQUIDATION. THEREFORE, WE BELIEVE THE CLIENT LIQUIDATED THE ANNUITY WITH HER FULL KNOWLEDGE AND UNDERSTANDING OF THE FINANCIAL CONSEQUENCES. HAD THERE BEEN CONCERNS RELATED TO THE LIQUIDATION, WE BELIEVE THE CLIENT WOULD HAVE BROUGHT IT TO OUR ATTENTION IN 2008. IN LIGHT OF OUR INVESTIGATION THE REQUEST FOR REIMBURSEMENT IS DENIED.

Reporting Source:

Broker

Employing firm when activities occurred which led to the complaint:

EDWARD JONES

Allegations:

CLIENT CLAIMS THE PREVIOUS FA ADVISED HER TO LIQUIDATE HER ALLIANZ ANNUITY CONTRACT WHICH WAS NOT HELD AT EDWARD JONES. CLIENT CLAIMS THE FA TOLD HER HE COULD PUT THE FUNDS TO BETTER USE. CLIENT CLAIMS THE FA ADVISED HER SHE WOULD BE BETTER OFF PAYING THE SURRENDER CHARGE AND REINVESTING THE FUNDS AT EDWARD JONES. CLIENT STATED SHE FOLLOWED THE FA'S ADVICE AND AS A RESULT LOST \$5,744.46 IN SURRENDER CHARGES AND \$1,688.98 IN FEDERAL TAXES WITHHELD. CLIENT FEELS SHE DESERVES TO BE REIMBURSED \$7,433.44 TO RESOLVE THIS ISSUE.

Product Type:

Annuity-Variable

Alleged Damages:

\$7,433.44

Is this an oral complaint?

No

Is this a written complaint?

Yes

Is this an arbitration/CFTC reparation or civil litigation?

No

Customer Complaint Information**Date Complaint Received:** 08/16/2011



Complaint Pending? No
Status: Denied
Status Date: 08/19/2011

Settlement Amount:

Individual Contribution Amount:

Broker Statement

AFTER REVIEWING THE ACCOUNT, SPEAKING WITH THE CLIENT, AND CONTACTING THE PREVIOUS FA, OUR INVESTIGATION REVEALED THE FOLLOWING. IN 2008, THE CLIENT REQUESTED THE FA REVIEW HER ANNUITY WITH ALLIANZ. SHE ADVISED THE FA HER HUSBAND HAD RECENTLY PASSED AWAY AND SHE NEEDED TO INCREASE HER INCOME. OUR RESEARCH INDICATES THE FA CONTACTED ALLIANZ WITH THE CLIENT IN HIS OFFICE TO DISCOVER THE FINANCIAL FACTS RELATED TO THE CONTRACT SINCE THE FA DID NOT SELL IT TO THE CLIENT. ALLIANZ ADVISED THE CLIENT AND THE FA OF THE SURRENDER CHARGES, TAXABLE AMOUNT, AND THE FACT INCOME WITHDRAWALS COULD NOT BE TAKEN FROM THE CONTRACT FOR QUITE SOME TIME. OUR REVIEW INDICATES THE CLIENT AND FA REVIEWED THE FINANCIAL FACTS AND WEIGHED THEM AGAINST HER NEED FOR MORE INCOME, THEN THE CLIENT DECIDED TO CONSIDER IT FOR A FEW DAYS AND RUN IT BY HER SON. AT THE NEXT MEETING THE CLIENT REQUESTED THE FA LIQUIDATE THE ANNUITY. THE FA PROCEEDED BY CONTACTING ALLIANZ FOR THE PROPER PAPERWORK SO HE COULD PROCEED. AT THIS TIME ALLIANZ SENT TWO LETTERS TO THE CLIENT CONFIRMING THE SURRENDER PENALTY AND TAXABLE AMOUNT IF THE ANNUITY WAS SURRENDERED. THE CLIENT ATTACHED THE TWO LETTERS FOR MY REFERENCE. IN REVIEW OF THE CLIENT'S CONCERNS, WE BELIEVE THE FA MADE HIS RECOMMENDATION BASED ON THE MATERIAL FACTS OF THE CONTRACT AND THE CLIENTS NEED FOR INCOME, WHICH THE ANNUITY COULD NOT PROVIDE. BASED ON THE DOCUMENTS PROVIDED BY THE CLIENT, WE ALSO BELIEVE SHE RECEIVED FULL DISCLOSURE IN 2008 AS TO THE FEES AND COSTS RELATED TO THE LIQUIDATION. THEREFORE, WE BELIEVE THE CLIENT LIQUIDATED THE ANNUITY WITH HER FULL KNOWLEDGE AND UNDERSTANDING OF THE FINANCIAL CONSEQUENCES. HAD THERE BEEN CONCERNS RELATED TO THE LIQUIDATION, WE BELIEVE THE CLIENT WOULD HAVE BROUGHT IT TO OUR ATTENTION IN 2008. IN LIGHT OF OUR INVESTIGATION THE REQUEST FOR REIMBURSEMENT IS DENIED.

Disclosure 3 of 3

Reporting Source: Broker



Employing firm when activities occurred which led to the complaint: EDWARD JONES

Allegations: CLIENT STATES SHE INSTRUCTED IR TO SELL SHARES OF VERISIGN IN DECEMBER 2000. CLIENT STATES SHE DID NOT RECEIVE CONFIRMATION AND DID NOT REALIZE IR ONLY SOLD TWO OF HER SHARES WHEN SHE RECEIVED HER STATEMENT IN JANUARY 2001. POSSIBLE LOSSES EXCEED \$5,000.

Product Type: Equity - OTC

Alleged Damages: \$5,000.00

Customer Complaint Information

Date Complaint Received: 11/28/2003

Complaint Pending? No

Status: Denied

Status Date: 12/18/2003

Settlement Amount:

Individual Contribution Amount:

Broker Statement

IR INDICATED HE MET WITH THE CLIENT MET ON DECEMBER 13, 2000. IR STATED THAT UPON THE CLIENT'S ARRIVAL, THE CLIENT INDICATED SHE NEEDED TO SELL TWO STOCKS IN HER ACCOUNT FOR TAX PURPOSES. ACCORDING TO THE IR, THE CLIENT ASKED THE IR HOW MUCH SHOULD BE SOLD OF EACH STOCK. IR INDICATED HE INFORMED THE CLIENT HE WAS NOT A TAX PROFESSIONAL AND COULD NOT ADVISE THE CLIENT ON THE AMOUNT OF EACH STOCK THAT SHOULD BE SOLD AND IR FURTHER ADVISED THE CLIENT TO WORK WITH HER TAX PROFESSIONAL TO DETERMINE THE AMOUNT OF SHARES THAT SHOULD BE SOLD. THE IR FURTHER STATED THAT THE CLIENT DECLINED THE IR'S RECOMMENDATION AND INSTRUCTED THE IR TO SELL 500 SHARES OF WAVO AND TWO SHARES OF VERISIGN. OUR RECORDS INDICATE THE ORDERS WERE ENTERED ON DECEMBER 13, 2000, AND THE IR INDICATED THAT THE TRADE CONFIRMATIONS WERE MAILED AS SOON AS THEY WERE AVAILABLE. IR STATED HE MET WITH THE CLIENT AGAIN IN JANUARY 2001, DURING WHICH TIME THE CLIENT INQUIRED WHY THE IR HAD ONLY SOLD TWO SHARES OF VERISIGN. IR STATED HE INFORMED THE CLIENT THAT HE ONLY SOLD TWO SHARES BECAUSE THAT IS WHAT IR UNDERSTOOD THE CLIENT'S INSTRUCTIONS TO BE. IR FURTHER STATED HE INFORMED THE CLIENT HE COULD SPEAK TO HER CPA REGARDING



THE SITUATION TO SEE WHAT COULD BE DONE. ACCORDING TO THE IR, HE SPOKE WITH THE CLIENT'S CPA AND WAS ADVISED BY THE CPA AS WELL AS THE CLIENT TO NOT MAKE ANY CHANGES TO THE TRADES. BASED ON OUR INVESTIGATION, WE BELIEVE THE ACCOUNT WAS HANDLED APPROPRIATELY BY THE IR. CLAIM DENIED.



Employment Separation After Allegations

This type of disclosure event involves a situation where the broker voluntarily resigned, was discharged, or was permitted to resign after being accused of (1) violating investment-related statutes, regulations, rules or industry standards of conduct; (2) fraud or the wrongful taking of property; or (3) failure to supervise in connection with investment-related statutes, regulations, rules, or industry standards of conduct.

Disclosure 1 of 1

Reporting Source:	Firm
Employer Name:	LPL Financial LLC
Termination Type:	Discharged
Termination Date:	08/28/2019
Allegations:	Termination in connection with State of NH suspension of investment adviser agent and broker-dealer representative license.
Product Type:	Promissory Note

End of Report



This page is intentionally left blank.